

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/070,954	07/22/2002	Stephen Arkinstall	ARKINSTALL=1	4903	
1444 7590 05/30/2007 BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C. 624 NINTH STREET, NW SUITE 300 WASHINGTON, DC 20001-5303			EXAMINER		
			COLEMAN, BR	COLEMAN, BRENDA LIBBY	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,		1624			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/30/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/070,954	ARKINSTALL ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Brenda L. Coleman	1624		

	Brenda L. Coleman	1624	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>17 May 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire in	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or a TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origon than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action: or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo 	nsideration and/or search (see NO	will <u>not</u> be entered b TE below);	ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in befappeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.15 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: NONE.	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☒ wilvided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: <u>NONE</u> .			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,5-12,20-22,27-31,35 and 36</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>NONE</u> .			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		- 42 -	
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> rit or other evidence is	t be entered and necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).			
13. Other:		Brenda Col	ma
	<i>*</i>	Brenda L. Coleman	
		Primary Examiner	

Art Unit: 1624

Application/Control Number: 10/070,954

Art Unit: 1624

ADVISORY ACTION

Page 2

Claims 1-3, 5-12, 20-22, 27-31, 35 and 36 are pending in the application.

This action is in response to applicant's amendment filed May 17, 2007. Claims 1-3, 5, 9, 10 and 11 have been amended and claim 4 has been canceled.

The period for reply continues to run FOUR MONTHS from the date of the final rejection. Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) accompanied by the appropriate fee. The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. A reply within the meaning of 37 CFR 1.113 or a request for a continued examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114 must be timely filed to avoid abandonment of this application.

The amendment filed May 17, 2007 under 37 CFR 1.116 in reply to the final rejection has been entered, but is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance. For purposes of appeal, the status of the claims is as follows:

Allowed claim(s): NONE

Rejected claim(s): 1-3, 5-12, 20-22, 27-31, 35 and 36

Claim(s) objected to: NONE

Response to Amendment

Applicant's arguments filed May 17, 2007 have been fully considered with the following effect:

Art Unit: 1624

- 1. The applicant's amendments and arguments are sufficient to overcome the 35 USC § 112, first paragraph rejection of claims 2, 3, 29, 30 and 31 labeled paragraph 1) maintained in the last office action, which is hereby **withdrawn**.
- 2. The applicant's amendments and arguments are sufficient to overcome the 35 USC § 112, second paragraph rejection of claims 2, 3, 29, 30 and 31, labeled paragraph 2aa), maintained in the last office action, which is hereby withdrawn.
- 3. With regards to the provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection of claims 1-3 and 5-41 labeled paragraph 4 over copending Application No. 10/381,200 of the last office action, the applicants requested that this rejection be held in abeyance at this time.

Claims 1-3, 5-12, 20-22, 27-31, 35 and 36 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-16 of copending Application No, 10/381,200, for reasons of record and stated above.

4. With regards to the provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection of claims 1-3 and 5-41 labeled paragraph 5 over copending Application No. 10/381,665 of the last office action, the applicants requested that this rejection be held in abeyance at this time.

Claims 1-3, 5-12, 20-22, 27-31, 35 and 36 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable

Application/Control Number: 10/070,954

Art Unit: 1624

over claims 1-16 of copending Application No, 10/381,665, for reasons of record and stated above.

Page 4

- 5. The applicant's amendment to the specification is sufficient to overcome the objection to the specification labeled paragraph 7) maintained in the last office action, which is hereby **withdrawn**.
- 6. With regards to the 35 USC § 112, second paragraph rejection of claim 36, labeled paragraph 11h) maintained in the last office action, the applicant's amendments and arguments have been fully considered, however they were not found persuasive.
 - h) The applicants' stated that claim 9 has been amended to recite that L¹ is a triazole ring, which is fused with an unsubstituted or substituted aryl or heteroaryl. However, this is not so. The definition of L¹ in claim 10 never embraces the additional fusion of an unsubstituted or substituted aryl ring.

Claim 36 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention, for reasons of record and stated above.

7. The applicant's amendments and arguments are sufficient to overcome the 35 USC § 102, anticipation rejection of claims 1-3, 20, 27, 29 and 31, labeled paragraph 12) maintained in the last office action, which is hereby **withdrawn**.

Art Unit: 1624

- 8. The applicant's amendments and arguments are sufficient to overcome the 35 USC § 102, anticipation rejection of claims 1-3 and 20, labeled paragraph 13) maintained in the last office action, which is hereby **withdrawn**.
- 9. The applicant's amendments and arguments are sufficient to overcome the 35 USC § 102, anticipation rejection of claims 1-3, 5, 6 and 20, labeled paragraph 14) maintained in the last office action, which is hereby **withdrawn**.
- 10. The applicant's amendments and arguments are sufficient to overcome the 35 USC § 102, anticipation rejection of claims 1, 3, 5, 6, 8, 9, 27 and 28, labeled paragraph 15) maintained in the last office action, which is hereby **withdrawn**.
- 11. The applicant's amendments and arguments are sufficient to overcome the 35 USC § 102, anticipation rejection of claims 1-3, 20 and 27-31, labeled paragraph 16) maintained in the last office action, which is hereby **withdrawn**.
- 12. The applicant's amendments and arguments are sufficient to overcome the 35 USC § 112, second paragraph rejection of claims 1-3, 5-12, 20-22, 27-31, 35 and 36, labeled paragraph 18a), b), c), d), e), f), g) and h) in the last office action, which are hereby withdrawn.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brenda L. Coleman whose telephone number is 571-272-0665. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/070,954

Art Unit: 1624

Page 6

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James O. Wilson can be reached on 571-272-0661. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Brenda L. Coleman

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1624

Tuesday, May 29, 2007